

DIRECTIONS IN DEVELOPMENT Energy and Mining

Public Procurement of Energy Efficiency Services Lessons from International Experiences

Jas Singh, Dilip R. Limaye, Brian Henderson, and Xiaoyu Shi

ESMAP Book Launch January 21, 2010

Why the public sector?

- Public sector energy use ~2-5% of total energy use in many countries (higher with district heating)
- Represents a large, homogenous, common-owner market
- Can "lead by example" and influence markets
 - Public sector typically represents 10-20% of GDP
 - Public procurement alone in EU is €200B or 3% of GDP
 - U.S. federal sales (2-3%) helped achieve high penetration rates for ENERGY STAR equipment (many at 90% or more)
- Reducing energy costs creates fiscal space for socioeconomic investments
- Suitable target for fiscal stimulus and "greening" infrastructure efforts



Why have results been so low?

Policy / Regulatory

- Low energy pricing and collections
- Rigid procurement and budgeting policies
- Limitations on public financing
- Ad hoc planning
- Limited and poor data

Public End Users

- Limited incentives to save energy/try new approaches
- No discretionary budgets for special projects/upgrades
- Unclear ownership of cost/energy savings
- Limited availability of financing
- Lack of awareness and technical expertise
- Behavioral biases

Service Providers Higher transaction

 Higher transaction costs for public sector projects

Equipment/

- Perceived risk of late/non-payment of public sector
- High project development costs
- Limited technical, business and risk management skills
- Limited access to equity and financing

Financiers

- High perceived public credit risks
- New technologies and contractual mechanisms
- Small sizes/high transaction costs
- Behavioral biases



What have other countries done?

Policy measures

- Energy pricing (time-of-use/feed-in tariffs, demand charges)
- EE product procurement (public sector MEPS/labeling, life-cycle costing, bulk purchase)
- Setting and monitoring of EE targets in public facilities
- Allowance for use of energy savings performance contracts (ESPCs)
- Building codes and certification

Procedural changes

- Changes in budgeting to allow retention of energy savings
- Designation of energy managers, periodic energy audits to identify EE measures
- O&M changes, such as automatic shut-off during evening/weekend hours

Informational programs

- Standard bidding documents and templates, analytical tools
- Establishment of benchmarks, guidelines and good practices for buildings/systems
- Public sector EE case studies and newsletters
- Training of public sector staff, facility managers, procurement officers

Incentive mechanisms

- Funding for energy audits
- Public financing for EE retrofits/upgrades
- Awards for high performing public facility managers, agencies, cities
- Publishing agency performance, ranking and rating of agencies



How ESPCs Can Help

Public Sector Barriers	ESPCs Can
Lack of commercial incentives to reduce operating costs	Not deal with incentives, but can help reduce transaction costs/risks, by offering package of services & project performance risk.
No incentive to save energy (no retention of savings)	Not address the principal-agent issue, but better define the benefits/ costs upfront, so agencies can negotiate and apportion them.
High perceived risks from new technologies and mechanisms	Involve performance guarantees to assign many project risks away from the public agency and financier.
Inflexible procurement procedures	Allow for high IRR projects to be done by evaluating the best value to the agency, bypassing procurement for each measure, equipment or service.
Constrained annual budgets for capital upgrades	Often facilitate project financing, with repayments derived from project savings.
Small projects with high project development/ transaction costs	Allow smaller projects to be bundled, often with notional audit/ baseline information, thus helping to address development/ transaction costs.
Inadequate information and technical know-how	Invite technically competent private sector firms to compete based on their qualifications, experience and best project ideas.



Results from select countries

Country	National Law?	Market Size	Results	Projects
United States (FEMP)	Х	US\$2.3 billion	 - 18 trillion BTU/yr - US\$7.1 billion in energy cost savings 	460 ESPC projects
Canada (FBI)		Can\$320 million	 20% reduction in energy intensity Can\$40 million in energy cost savings 285 kt of CO₂ reduction 	85 EPC projects (7,500+ buildings)
Germany		~€200 million	 - 20-30% reduction in energy costs - €30-45 million in energy cost savings/yr 	2,000 properties
Japan		~10 billion yen	 12% reduction in energy intensity 265kt of CO₂ reduction 	50 ESPC projects in FY06



Emerging Public ESPC Models

Model	Examples			
Indefinite Quantity Contract (IQC)	U.S. (FEMP), Hungary (MOE)			
Public ESP	Ukraine (Rivne City)			
Super ESP	U.S. (NYPA), Belgium (Fedesco), Philippines (EC ²)			
Utility ESP	U.S. (FEMP – UESC), Croatia (HEP ESCO)			
Utility DSM ESP	Brazil			
Internal ESP (PICO)	Germany (Stuttgart)			
Energy Supply Contracting	Germany, Austria, France			
Procurement Agent	Germany (BEA, DENA), Austria, U.S., Czech Republic, Slovakia			
Project Bundling	Austria, Germany, India, S. Africa, U.S.			
Nodal Agencies	U.S. (USDOE), S. Korea (KEMCO), India (BEE), Japan (ECCJ)			
Ad Hoc	Brazil, China, Egypt, Mexico, Poland, S. Africa			



Steps and Issues





Designing the Right Process

ll		Financing	Model	Contract
 Progressive agency's full retention of EE benefits after reform certain autonomy or fixed budget provisions of agency noncash refund to agency from ESPs with retention of EE benefits partial EE benefits assigned to agency by Ministry of Finance (MOF) no agency retention, MOF upfront subsidy/grant/special financing no retention but other incentives (e.g., awards, competitions) no retention; MOF mandate on agency no retention; ESP procurement by MOF/parent agency Restrictive 	Prescriptive detailed energy audit and resulting predefined project mandate audit detailed audit from similar, representative facility walk-through audits/evaluation institution-led low- or no- cost audit completed audit template equipment inventory/ bill summary audit by preselected ESPs under Indefinite quantity contract (IQC) approach no upfront audit; detailed audit by bidders prior to bid submission Flexible	 Commercial bank lending and project financing to ESPCs vendor financing or leasing credit or risk guarantee carbon financing to boost IRR or extend ESPC duration financing and packaging by Public-private partnership (PPPs) financing and packaging by public entities (e.g., super-ESPs) public financing through public bonds, etc. government budget for EE projects Public 	 High ESP risk full service—shared savings energy supply contracting —chauffage, outsourcing, contract energy management ESPs with third-party financing—guaranteed savings ESPs with variable-term contract—first out contract supplier credit equipment leasing consultant with performance-based payments consultant with fixed payments Low ESP risk 	 Performance based multiyear contract and periodic payments based on M&V assessment multiyear, flexible term contract until ESP's agreed return met partial payment upon commissioning and balance paid 3–6 months multiyear contract and fixed payments with periodic M&V, equipment warranty, and bonus provisions full payment upon commissioning with some recourse for outer years full payment upon commissioning Traditional



Conclusions and Recommendations

For countries interested in developing a process:

- Conduct an upfront market survey of potential ESPs
- Hold stakeholder consultations to analyze barriers and identify potential solutions
- Define multiple solutions for each barrier and options for each issue
- Develop and test small procurements
- Expand and replicate
- Institutionalize systems



Thank you!

